



Advanced studies on the archaeology and history of hunting  
edited by the ZBSA

Karl-Heinz Gersmann · Oliver Grimm (eds.)

# Raptor and human – falconry and bird symbolism throughout the millennia on a global scale

**WACHHOLTZ**  
MURMANN PUBLISHERS



**Advanced studies on the archaeology and history of hunting, vol. 1.1-1.4**

Edited by the ZBSA/Centre for Baltic and Scandinavian Archaeology in the Foundation of the Schleswig-Holstein State Museums, Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (northern Germany)

# **Raptor and human – falconry and bird symbolism throughout the millennia on a global scale**

2

Edited by  
Karl-Heinz Gersmann and Oliver Grimm

Publication in considerable extension of the workshop at the  
Centre for Baltic and Scandinavian Archaeology (ZBSA) in Schleswig,  
March 5<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> 2014



**WACHHOLTZ**  
MURMANN PUBLISHERS

*Cover picture: Skilled eagle master. Western Mongolia, August 2011 (photo used with the permission of Dr. Takuya Soma).*

*Top to the left: Seal of the Danish king Knud IV (late 11<sup>th</sup> century). Redrawing. Taken from M. Andersen/G. Tegnér, *Middelalderlige segl-stamper i Norden* (Roskilde 2002) 129.*

Technical Editor: Isabel Sonnenschein

Layout, typesetting and image editing: Matthias Bolte, Jürgen Schüller

Print and distribution: Wachholtz Verlag – Murmann Publishers, Kiel/Hamburg 2018

<https://www.wachholtz-verlag.de/raptor-and-human.html>

ISSN 2511-8285

ISBN 978-3-529-01490-1

Bibliographical data of the German National Library. The German National Library catalogues this publication

in the German National Bibliography; detailed bibliographical information is available online under <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

All rights reserved, including the reprint of extracts, in particular for duplication, the insertion into and processing in electronic systems and photomechanical reproduction and translation.

© 2018 Centre for Baltic and Scandinavian Archaeology (ZBSA) in the Foundation of the Schleswig-Holstein State Museums, Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig, Germany.

The editors have made every effort to identify all copyright owners. In the case that copyrights have not been cleared, please contact the editors or the publishing house.

**Chapter 4 – Raptors and religion, falconry and philosophy** ..... 323

David A. Warburton

Egypt and earlier: birds of prey in the human mind at the dawn of history ..... 325

By Kerry Hull, Mark Wright and Rob Fergus

Avian actors: transformation, sorcery, and prognostication in Mesoamerica ..... 347

Daniela Boccassini

Falconry as royal “delectatio”: understanding the art of taming and its philosophical foundations in 12<sup>th</sup>- and 13<sup>th</sup>-century Europe ..... 367

**Chapter 5 – History of falconry: pioneers of research** ..... 389

Leor Jacobi and Mark Epstein

Hans J. Epstein: falconry’s extraordinary historian ..... 391

Rolf Roosen

“The noblest form of hunting ever” – Kurt Lindner and falconry ..... 403

**Chapter 6 – History of falconry: basic reflections and new perspectives** ..... 421

Ivan Pokrovsky

Stable isotope analysis in raptor and falconry studies ..... 423

Alexandra Pesch

Confiding birds: some short remarks on the “head-with-bird-on-top-of-horse-motif” on Migration Period gold bracteates. .... 431

Vera Henkelmann

The evidential value of falconry depictions in book illuminations, on seals, and on tapestries in middle Europe. .... 449

Wietske Prummel

The archaeological-archaeozoological identification of falconry – methodological remarks and some Dutch examples ..... 467

**Book 2**

Oliver Grimm

From Aachen in the west to Birka in the north and Mikulčice in the east – some archaeological remarks on bird of prey bones and falconry as being evidenced in premodern settlement contexts in parts of Europe (pre and post 1000 AD) ..... 479

Ulrich Schmölcke	
Central European burials with birds of prey from the middle of the 1 <sup>st</sup> millennium AD – a short survey of the early history of archaeozoology in connection with these burials. . . . .	495
Stephan Dusil	
Falconry in the mirror of normative sources from Central Europe (5 <sup>th</sup> –19 <sup>th</sup> centuries). . . . .	507
Baudouin Van den Abeele	
“On the dunghill”: the dead hawk in medieval Latin and French moralising literature . . . . .	523
Ricardo Manuel Olmos de León	
The care of hunting birds in the late Middle Ages and Renaissance according to the Spanish falconry treatises (1250–1565) . . . . .	539
Robert Nedoma	
New words for new things – an overview on lexical borrowing. . . . .	557
<b>Chapter 7 – Eurasian steppe: geographic origins of falconry?</b> . . . . .	<b>563</b>
Pavel Kosintsev and Aleksei Nekrasov	
An archaeozoological survey of remains of birds of prey in the West Eurasian steppe. . . . .	565
Leonid Yablonsky (†)	
Were the Early Sarmatian nomads falconers in the southern Urals, Russia, during the 4 <sup>th</sup> century BC? . . . . .	579
Ulambayar Erdenebat	
A contribution to the history of Mongolian falconry . . . . .	587
Takuya Soma	
Ethnoarchaeology of falconry in nomadic and sedentary society across Central Asia – rethinking the “Beyond the Boundary” phenomenon of ancient falconry culture . . . . .	603
Ádám Bollók	
A history of the Hungarians before the end of the ninth century: a reading . . . . .	619
Claus Dobiát, with an archaeological-historical introduction by Oliver Grimm	
The rider fibula from Xanten in western Germany (around 600 AD) with a reference to the falconry of nomadic horsemen of the Eurasian steppe . . . . .	637
Hans Nugteren	
Names for hunting birds and falconry terms in Kipchak (Northwestern Turkic) . . . . .	645
Jürgen Udolph	
Eastern Slavic names of birds of prey – traces of contact with Turkic peoples? . . . . .	663

<b>Chapter 8 – Roman Empire: the West (Rome) and East (Constantinople) with very little evidence for falconry up to the 5<sup>th</sup>/6<sup>th</sup> centuries.</b>	683
Florian Hurka	
Falconry and similar forms of hunting according to ancient Greco-Roman sources	685
Andreas Külzer	
Some notes on falconry in Byzantium	699
<b>Chapter 9 – Case study: raptor catching, raptor trade and falconry in northern Europe.</b>	709
Oliver Grimm and Frans-Arne Stylegar	
A short introduction to Norway, its Viking Age (800–1000/1050) and the question of the origin of falconry in the country	711
Terje Gansum	
The royal Viking Age ship grave from Gokstad in Vestfold, eastern Norway, and its link to falconry	717
Ragnar Orten Lie	
Falconry, falcon-catching and the role of birds of prey in trade and as alliance gifts in Norway (800–1800 AD) with an emphasis on Norwegian and later foreign participants in falcon-catching	727
Inge Særheim	
Place names from south-western Norway with reference to the catching of falcons	787
Lydia Carstens	
Land of the hawk: Old Norse literary sources about the knowledge and practice of falconry.	799
Maria Vretemark	
Birds of prey as evidence for falconry in Swedish burials and settlements (550–1500 AD).	827
Sigmund Oehrl	
An overview of falconry in Northern Germanic and insular iconography, 6 <sup>th</sup> /7 <sup>th</sup> centuries AD to c. 1100 AD	841
Åsa Ahrland	
Imagery of birds of prey and falconry in the High and Late Middle Ages (1150–1500) in the Nordic countries – reflections of actual hunting practices or symbols of power?	861
Joonas Ahola, Frog and Ville Laakso	
The roles and perceptions of raptors in Iron Age and medieval Finno-Karelian cultures through c. AD 1500	887

Matti Leiviskä	
The role of birds of prey in Finnish place and personal names . . . . .	935
Anne Birgitte Gotfredsen	
Traces of falconry in Denmark from the 7 <sup>th</sup> to the 17 <sup>th</sup> centuries . . . . .	947

## Book 3

Dirk Heinrich, with an appendix by Wolf-Rüdiger Teegen	
Falconry in the Viking Age trading centre of Haithabu and its successor, the medieval town of Schleswig? . . . . .	973
Natascha Mehler, Hans Christian Küchelmann and Bart Holterman	
The export of gyrfalcons from Iceland during the 16 <sup>th</sup> century: a boundless business in a proto-globalized world . . . . .	995
Brian Smith and John H. Ballantyne	
The collection of falcons and ‘hawk hens’ in Shetland and Orkney, 1472–1840 . . . . .	1021
Kristopher Poole	
Zooarchaeological evidence for falconry in England, up to AD 1500 . . . . .	1027
David Horobin	
The pen and the peregrine: literary influences on the development of British falconry (8 <sup>th</sup> century to the present) . . . . .	1055
Eric Lacey	
The charter evidence for falconry and falcon-catching in England and Wales, c. 600–c. 1100 . . . . .	1089
Richard Almond	
Hunting from the fist: looking at hawking and falconry in late medieval England (1000–1500) through art history . . . . .	1117
Kester Freriks	
Bird trapping and falconry in Valkenswaard, the Netherlands, from the 17 <sup>th</sup> to the 20 <sup>th</sup> centuries – about wild birds as jewels on the falconer’s hand . . . . .	1149
Ignaz Matthey	
The symbolism of birds of prey and falconry in the visual arts of the Netherlands, 1400–1800 . . . . .	1171



---

# New words for new things – an overview on lexical borrowing

By Robert Nedoma

*Keywords: Language contact, lexical borrowing, loanword, loan translation*

*Abstract: This paper gives a brief account on lexical borrowing, usually the implementation of new words results from intercultural transfer. The transfer of new words from one language to another can be categorized in two ways: 1. loanwords emerge from lexical borrowing, where both form and meaning of the foreign linguistic sign are transferred to the native language (Lat. compassio – E compassion); 2. loan translations emerge from semantic borrowing, where the meaning of the foreign linguistic sign is expressed by means of native language elements (Lat. compassio – NHG Mitleid).*

*[Editors' note: The fact that intercultural transfer can result in lexical borrowing, producing loan words in the language of the recipients, is very important with regards to the question of origin of falconry and its transfer to other areas. Compare Grimm & Gersmann, Introduction].*

“No man is an *Iland*, intire of it selfe; every man is a peece of the *Continent*, a part of the maine”. These famous words by John Donne (1573–1631)<sup>1</sup> apply to humans insofar as they are ethnic, political, social, cultural, religious, linguistic etc. beings – and the human linguistic capacity is always realized through the relations and interactions of languages. The intensity of contacts and, thus, the intensity of mutual interferences between speakers of neighbouring linguistic varieties differs from case to case. The transfer of language elements occurs at various levels of the linguistic system. However, mostly vocabulary is concerned – we call this process lexical borrowing.<sup>2</sup> (Although, it is worth noting here that the metaphor of *borrowing* remains somewhat inappropriate, seeing as the donees return the ‘borrowed’ words to the donors by mere coincidence<sup>3</sup>). Some parts of the lexicon, however, are less affected than others: the basic vocabulary, for example, remains more or less unchanged (see, e.g., TADMOR 2009, 65ff.).

The implementation of a single lexical item, or a group of correlating lexical items (e.g., an entire terminological system like that of chess) is almost always the result of an intercultural transfer in which new words are used to signify new objects or concepts. In former times, linguistic borrowing could occur on independent occasions of intercultural contact (cf. HINDERLING 1981, 41). The number

1 *Devotions Upon Emergent Occasions*, Meditation 17 (ed. SPARROW 1923, 98).

2 General references on lexical borrowing: HAUGEN 1950; BETZ 1965; BIRKHAN 1985, 234ff.; ALGEO 1990; HOCK 1991, 380ff.; HASPELMATH/TADMOR 2009; HOCK/JOSEPH 2009, 241ff.

3 One of the few examples is WFranc. *\*warda* fem. (OS *warda*, OHG *warta* ‘guard post’) that passed on to French (*\*guarda* > OFr. Fr. *garde* fem. ‘guarding, protection, custody’, masc./fem. ‘guardian, protector’) and, in turn, gave NHG *Garde* fem. ‘guard regiment’.

of persons or institutions engaged in reception, reproduction and initial spreading of a novel vocable is usually quite limited, and the new word then owes its acceptance to the prestige of the first users. In contact situations where two languages are involved, the proportion of loaned to borrowed vocabulary is greater where a language is associated with superior political-military power (Old English vs. Celtic in Migration Period England), cultural status (Greek vs. Latin in ancient times) or technical development (English vs. German in the last decades).

We have two categories of borrowed words: first, loanwords (which are unmotivated for native speakers); second, loan translations (which are motivated for native speakers, at least to a certain extent).

1. Loanwords emerge from lexical borrowing (*sensu stricto*; sometimes called lexical copying), where both form and meaning of the foreign linguistic sign are transferred to the native language. As far as the manner of their integration is concerned, there are two types of loanwords, but they are distinguished only with difficulty.

1.1. When a loanword is assimilated by adoption, the source word is entirely preserved so that this type of borrowed word – in German, called a *Fremdwort*, in Danish, a *fremmedord* etc. (there is no generally accepted term in English) – is pronounced identically or, at least, quite similarly, in the native language: MPers. *šāb* > Arab. *šāb* ‘king’ (in chess), NHG *Zeitnot* > Russ. *цейтнот cejtnot* ‘time trouble’ (in chess). In a few of early instances, the lack of evidence makes it difficult to determine which of the two languages is the source: Lat. *falco* ‘falcon’ could be borrowed from Germanic (cf. OHG *falko*), or the Germanic word from Latin.<sup>4</sup>

Of course, the loan undergoes sound changes of the borrowing language later on. For example, an earlier adoption of MLat. *parricus* ‘fence, enclosure’ was first adopted and eventually yielded OHG *pferrih* ‘pen, fold yard’. This may, at first, seem quite different. However, when we consider the High German Sound Shift (*p* > *pf*, *k* > *h*) and *i*-umlaut (*a* > *e*) it is clear that OHG *pferrih*, NHG *Pferch* should be regarded as a *Lehnwort* (see below, 1.2.).

There are examples from earlier times where roots were adopted, but the endings were substituted in instances where the foreign and the native languages had comparable grammatical systems: Gr. *φιλόσοφος* *philosoph-os* → Lat. *philosoph-us* ‘philosopher’, Lat. *skamell-us* masc. → WGmc. *skamell-a* masc. ‘footstool’ (4<sup>th</sup> c.; NEDOMA/DÜWEL 2012, 147f.). In principle, loans of this type are hybrids, because they have translated inflectional morphemes (for loan translation, see below, 2.) such as we see in the case of Gr. *ιδιώτης* *idiōtēs* ‘private person’ → Lat. *idiōta* ‘layman, uneducated or ignorant person’ → Span. *idiota* ‘idiot’ → E *idiot* (cf. SIMON 1981), an instance which illustrates semantic changes, too. Another example of a loanword shifting its meaning in the process of its adoption appears in Arab. *šāb* ‘king (most important chess piece)’ vs. loaned MLat. *scac(h)um, -us* ‘(any) chess piece’ (cf. NEDOMA 2014, 48).

1.2. As a result of adaptation, some characteristics of the source word are substituted due to phonological-phonetic (and/or morphological; see above, 1.1.) differences so that the borrowed word – in German, called a *Lehnwort*, in Danish, a *låneord* etc. – is pronounced differently in the native language. For instance, Non-English speakers may replace the characteristic English voiceless dental fricative [θ] *th* by the alveolar fricative [s], by the dental stop [t] or even by the labial fricative [f] – if a sound does not exist in the native language, it is substituted by means of a similar sound. A well-known example from former times is PrGmc. *\*brengez* that was the source for Finn. *rengas* ‘ring’ (KYLSTRA et al. 2012, 145): the foreign initial cluster [xr] (or [hr]) was reduced to [r], and final voiced [z] was replaced by voiceless [s]. The greater differences between the sound and/or morphological

4 Cf. NEDOMA in this book, with notes 24 and 25.

systems of the two languages involved are, the greater is the remodeling: E *girlfriend* [ˈgɜːlfrend] was borrowed as Jap. *gāru-furendo*, and E *microphone* [ˈmaɪkrəˌfəʊn] as Chin. (Mandarin) *mài kè fēng*.

More recently, written words often remain unchanged and, thus, do not reflect implemented sound substitution. For instance, French *béton* [beˈtɔ̃] is mostly articulated in Standard and Northern German [beˈtɔŋ] with oral vowel [ɔ] and velar nasal [ŋ] replacing foreign nasal vowel [ɔ̃], written *Beton* (not *Betong*).<sup>5</sup> Another role that orthography can take in nativization is illustrated by Norw. *hallo* [hɑˈlu:], an interjection used for initiating or answering a telephone call (and for greeting): this seems to be the pronunciation of written (not spoken!) Dan. *hallo* [hæˈlɔ:(ː)] (a loan from NHG *Hallo*) based on the common Norwegian realization of <o> as [u:(ː)] (cf. Norw. *bo* [bu:] ‘to reside’). Yet, there was no need for such a substitution [ɔ:(ː)] → [u:] since both forms of Norwegian (Bokmål, Nynorsk) have *o*-sounds [ɔ, o:] as well as *u*-sounds [u, u:]. Another interesting case is E *zenith* (NHG *Zenit* etc.), which owes its form to a misspelling: Arab. *samt ar-ra’s* ‘highest point (in the sky), zenith’ (literally ‘direction of the head’) was simplified to *samt*, and its vulgar variant Arab. *sem* then gave *zenith*, *cenith* in Middle Latin sources and *zenit* in Italian, obviously the result of a scribal error in which the original orthographic <m> was mistaken for <n> *ni* (KIESLER 1994, 286f. and TAZI 1998, 271f.; the rendering of Arab. /s/ by <z> is regular). Remodeling can be caused by secondary motivation, too: in early modern times, Taíno<sup>6</sup> *hamaka* ‘hammock’ was transferred via Span. *hamaca* into many European languages (e.g., Fr. *hamac*, E *hammock*). Yet, because of its opacity, it was folk-etymologized as ‘hang-mat’ in 17<sup>th</sup> century Germany and the Netherlands (NHG *Hängematte*, Du. *hangmat*; PHILIPPA et al. 2005, 379f.).

2. Loan translations emerge from semantic borrowing (sometimes called semantic copying) where the meaning of the foreign linguistic sign is expressed by means of native language elements. There are four types, two of them being morpho-semantic imitations of the foreign word (calques *sensu lato*: 2.1., 2.2.), the other two being discrete terms without a foreign model (2.3., 2.4.):

2.1. The source word is translated morpheme by morpheme so that this type of borrowed word – a calque *sensu stricto*, called a *Lehnübersetzung* in German – is an exact re-formation of a phrase, a compound or a derivative. Examples are Lat. *diēs sōlis* ‘day of the sun, Sunday’ → OE *sunnandæg*, MLG *lēnhēre* ‘fee-lord, overlord’ → OIcel. *lánardrótinn*, and Gr. συμπάθεια *sympátheia* ‘fellow suffering, compassion’ → Lat. *compassio* (which was calqued into MHG *mitelide*, *miteliden*).

2.2. The source word is translated more freely so that this type of borrowed word – occasionally called a loan rendering, German uses the term *Lehnübertragung* – is an approximating re-formation or rendering: E *skyscraper* → NHG *Wolkenkratzer* (literally ‘cloud scraper’), Lat. *humilis* ‘humble’ → OWN *litillátr* (WALTER 1976, 63; literally ‘lowly-behaving’), Lat. *patria* ‘native land’ → OHG *faterlant* (literally ‘fatherland’).

2.3. The meaning of a foreign linguistic sign is transferred to a self-coined new lexical item (neologism) in the native language. This type of semantic borrowing – occasionally called loan creation, German uses the term *Lehnschöpfung* – means re-wording instead of re-formation. Examples of this include Lat. *philosophus* ‘philosopher’ ~ OHG *unmezwizzo* (literally ‘excessive-knower, extreme wise man’), and E *computer* ~ Icel. *tölva* (a blending *tala* ‘number’ × *völva* ‘sibyl, prophetess’; cf. JÓNSSON 1994), Fr. *cognac* ~ E *brandy*, NHG *Weinbrand* (literally ‘wine-distillate’).

2.4. The meaning of a foreign linguistic sign is transferred to an established lexical item in the native language. This type of semantic borrowing – that is, a loan shift (HOCK 1991, 398f.), as opposed to loan-meaning and its German equivalent *Lehnbedeutung*, which do not cover processuality – is,

5 There is also the adoption *Beton* [beˈtɔ̃:(ː)] in Standard and Northern German. By contrast, Bavarian and Austrian German chooses [beˈto:n] with long oral vowel [o:] and alveolar nasal [n].

6 Taíno is an extinct Arawakan language that was used throughout the Caribbean until the late 19<sup>th</sup> century.

like a loan creation, a re-wording rather than a re-formation. However, instead of coining a new lexical item, it does this by conferring an additional meaning on a preexisting word (semantic widening), e.g. Lat. *redemptiō* ‘redemption, salvation’ ~ OIcel. *lausn*, originally ‘liberation’, added ‘redemption, salvation’ (WALTER 1976, 130f.). Usually there is proportional modeling: Lat. *caelum* ‘1. sky, 2. (Christian) heaven’ ~ OE *heofon*, originally ‘sky’, added ‘(Christian) heaven’, Arab. *faras* ‘1. horse, 2. knight (in chess)’ ~ MLat. *equus*, originally ‘horse’, added ‘knight (in chess)’, E *link* ‘1. connection, 2. hyperlink’ ~ Icel. *tengill*, originally ‘connection’, added ‘hyperlink’.

From a historical perspective, loan translations of all types (2.1.–2.4.) were commonly used in order to introduce Christian terminology to the early Germanic peoples. In modern times, proponents of linguistic purism – which can be interpreted as protectionism – certainly prefer loan translations to loanwords. For instance, modern Icelandic has a notable aversion against loanwords: a large part of them would not match the complex inflectional morphology, something which discourages their use, given that the maintaining of the (structure of the) language is an essential part of Icelandic national identity.<sup>7</sup>

Whatever the views of a specific community on the subject may be, borrowing words from other languages, whether involuntarily or consciously, is a vital means of developing a language, our first and fundamental cultural technique.

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Arab. = Arabic, Chin. = Chinese, Dan. = Danish, Du. = Dutch, E = (modern) English, fem. = feminine, Fr. = (modern) French, Finn. = Finnish, Gr. = ancient Greek, Icel. = (modern) Icelandic, Jap. = Japanese, Lat. = Latin, masc. = masculine, MHG = Middle High German, MLat. = Middle Latin, MLG = Middle Low German, MPers. = Middle Persian, NHG = New (modern) High German, Norw. = Norwegian, OFr. = Old French, OIcel. = Old Icelandic, OWN = Old West Norse, PrGmc. = Proto-Germanic, Russ. = (modern) Russian, Span. = Spanish, Swed. = (modern) Swedish, WFranc. = West Franconian, WGmc. = West Germanic.

Operator > indicates regular changes by sound law (*a* > *b* ‘*b* is regularly replaced by *a*’), operator → indicates sporadic changes, e.g., by analogy (*a* → *b* ‘*b* is occasionally replaced by *a*’).

Asterisk \* indicates non-extant (i.e. reconstructed) forms.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ALGEO 1990: J. ALGEO, Borrowing. In: E. C. Polomé (ed.), Research Guide on Language Change. Trends in Linguistics, Studies and Monographs 48 (Berlin, New York 1990) 409–413.
- BETZ 1965: W. BETZ, Deutsch und Lateinisch. Die Lehnbildungen der althochdeutschen Benediktinerregel (Bonn <sup>2</sup>1965).
- BIRKHAN 1985: H. BIRKHAN, Etymologie des Deutschen. Germanist. Lehrbuchsammlung 15 (Bern, Frankfurt/Main, New York 1985).
- HASPELMATH/TADMOR 2009: M. HASPELMATH/U. TADMOR (eds.), Loanwords in the World’s Languages: A Comparative Handbook (Berlin 2009).
- HAUGEN 1950: E. HAUGEN, The Analysis of Linguistic Borrowing. Language 26, 1950, 210–231.
- HINDERLING 1981: R. HINDERLING, Die deutsch-estnischen Lehnwortbeziehungen im Rahmen einer europäischen Lehnwortgeographie (Wiesbaden 1981).
- HOCK 1991: H. H. HOCK, Principles of Historical Linguistics (Berlin, New York <sup>2</sup>1991).

7 See, among others, WAHL 2008.

- HOCK/JOSEPH 2009: H. H. HOCK/B. D. JOSEPH, *Language History, Language Change, and Language Relationship. An Introduction to Historical and Comparative Linguistics* (Berlin, New York 2009).
- JÓNSSON 1994: Baldur JÓNSSON, Um orðið tölva. In: Gísli Sigurðsson et al. (eds.), *Sagnaþing helgað Jónasi Kristjánssyni* vol. 1 (Reykjavík 1994) 33–44.
- KIESLER 1994: R. KIESLER, *Kleines vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Arabismen im Iberoromanischen und Italienischen* (Tübingen, Basel 1994).
- KYLSTRA et al. 2012: A. D. KYLSTRA/S.-L. HAHMO/T. HOFSTRA/O. NIKKILÄ, *Lexikon der älteren germanischen Lehnwörter in den ostseefinnischen Sprachen. Bd. 3: P-Ä* (Amsterdam, New York 2012).
- NEDOMA 2014: R. NEDOMA, Die Schachterminologie des Altwestnordischen und der Transfer des Schachspiels nach Skandinavien. In: M. Teichert (ed.), *Sport und Spiel bei den Germanen. Nordeuropa von der römischen Kaiserzeit bis zum Mittelalter. RGA Ergbd. 88* (Berlin, Boston 2014) 29–85.
- NEDOMA/DÜWEL 2012: R. NEDOMA/K. DÜWEL, Die Runeninschrift auf dem Kamm von Frienstedt, Stadt Erfurt: Die Runeninschrift. *Die Sprache* 49, 2010/2011 (2012), 136–186.
- PHILIPPA et al. 2005: M. PHILIPPA/F. DEBRABANDERE/A. QUAK, *Etymologisch woordenboek van het Nederlands* [vol. 2] F–Ka (Amsterdam 2005).
- SIMON 1981: M. SIMON, Idiot von ἰδιότης. In: E. Ch. Welskopf (ed.), *Soziale Typenbegriffe im alten Griechenland und ihr Fortleben in den Sprachen der Welt. Bd. 5: Das Fortleben altgriechischer sozialer Typenbegriffe in der deutschen Sprache* (Berlin 1981) 291–306.
- SPARROW 1923: J. SPARROW (ed.), *John Donne, Devotions Upon Emergent Occasions* [1624] (Cambridge 1923).
- TADMOR 2009: U. TADMOR, Loanwords in the world's languages: Findings and results. In: HASPELMATH/TADMOR 2009, 55–75.
- TAZI 1998: R. TAZI, Arabismen im Deutschen. *Lexikalische Transferenzen vom Arabischen ins Deutsche. Studia Linguistica Germanica* 47 (Berlin, New York 1998).
- WAHL 2008: B. WAHL, *Isländisch: Sprachplanung und Sprachpurismus* (Heidelberg 2008).
- WALTER 1976: E. WALTER, *Lexikalisches Lehngut im Altwestnordischen. Untersuchungen zum Lehngut im ethisch-moralischen Wortschatz der frühen lateinisch-altwestnordischen Übersetzungsliteratur. Abhandl. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. zu Leipzig, Philolog.-histor. Kl., 66,2* (Berlin 1976).

Prof. Dr. Robert Nedoma  
 Universität Wien  
 Institut für Europäische und Vergleichende Sprach- und Literaturwissenschaft,  
 Abteilung Skandinavistik  
 Universitätsring 1, 1010 Vienna  
 Austria  
 robert.nedoma@univie.ac.at